

**FEJÉR-HADAMARD TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR EXPONENTIALLY
(p, h)-CONVEX FUNCTIONS VIA A GENERALIZED FRACTIONAL
INTEGRAL**

MUHAMMAD YUSSOUF^{1,*}, GHULAM FARID², ATIQ UR REHMAN²

¹Department of Mathematics, Govt. Post Graduate Talim-ul-Islam College, Chenab Nagar (Chiniot), Pakistan.

²Department of Mathematics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Attock Campus, Attock, Pakistan.

Abstract. This paper includes new versions of generalized Fejér-Hadamard (FH) type fractional integral inequalities (FIIs) for exponentially (p, h)-convex functions. We utilize an extracted generalized fractional integral operator (FIO) involving Mittag-Leffler function (MLF) which contains a monotone increasing function. Some special already published results are deduced from the presented results.

Keywords: Exponentially (p, h)-convex functions, Fejér-Hadamard type inequalities, Generalized fractional integral operators, Mittag-Leffler function.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the study of mathematical inequalities, convex functions [22] play an important role. There have been studied a lot of inequalities for convex and related functions, see [1, 15, 18–21, 23, 25–27, 31]. In recent decades FIIs for convex and related functions are in focus of researchers. As a result many well known inequalities have been produced for various types of FIOs, see [1, 2, 11, 17, 18, 28]. The Hadamard (H) inequality is studied extensively for FIOs. The purpose of this paper is to introduce the weighted version (FH-inequality) of the H-inequality in the prospect of a generalized FIO. These fractional inequalities will hold simultaneously for convex, p -convex, h -convex, (p, h)-convex, exponentially s -convex functions.

Prabhaker presented the following integral operators defined in [24] containing MLF.

Definition 1.1. Let $\phi : [a_0, b_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $0 < a_0 < b_0$ be a function such that ϕ be positive and $\phi \in L_1[a_0, b_0]$. Also assume $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho, \sigma, \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Then the FIOs involving MLF, $\varepsilon_{\sigma, \tau, \omega, a_0+}^{\rho} \phi$ and $\varepsilon_{\sigma, \tau, \omega, b_0-}^{\rho} \phi$ are defined by:

$$\left(\varepsilon_{\sigma, \tau, \omega, a_0+}^{\rho} \phi \right) (x) = \int_{a_0}^x (x-t)^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma, \tau}^{\rho}(\omega(x-t)^{\sigma}) \phi(t) dt, \quad (1)$$

*Correspondence: mohsin2013.uos@gmail.com

Received: 22.12.2020 Revised: 18.01.2021 Accepted: 19.01.2021 Published online: 01.02.2021

$$\left(\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,\tau,\omega,b_0}^{\rho}\phi\right)(x)=\int_x^{b_0}(t-x)^{\tau-1}E_{\sigma,\tau}^{\rho}(\omega(t-x)^{\sigma})\phi(t)dt, \quad (2)$$

where $E_{\sigma,\tau}^{\rho}$ is MLF; $E_{\sigma,\tau}^{\rho}(t)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{(\rho)_n t^n}{\Gamma(\sigma n+\tau)n!}$ where $(\rho)_n$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined as $(\rho)_n=\rho(\rho+1)(\rho+2)\dots(\rho+n-1)$, $(\rho)_0=1$; $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the gamma function defined as $\Gamma(n)=\int_0^{\infty}e^{-x}x^{n-1}dx, n>0$; also $(\rho)_n=\frac{\Gamma(\rho+n)}{\Gamma(\rho)}$.

FIOs given by Andrić *et al.* in [2] linking with an extended generalized MLF in their kernels as follows:

Definition 1.2. [2] Let $\phi:[a_0,b_0]\rightarrow\mathbb{R}$, $0<a_0<b_0$ be a function such that ϕ be positive and $\phi\in L_1[a_0,b_0]$. Also let $\delta,\tau,c,\rho,\omega\in\mathbb{C}$ and let \Re be a real part of a complex number such that; $\Re(\delta),\Re(\tau),\Re(\rho),\Re(c)>0$, $\Re(\rho)<\Re(c)$ with $\sigma,r>0$, $q\geq 0$ and $0<k\leq\sigma+r$. Then the generalized FIOs $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,\tau,\delta,\omega,a_0}^{\rho,r,k,c}\phi$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,\tau,\delta,\omega,b_0}^{\rho,r,k,c}\phi$ are defined by:

$$\left(\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,\tau,\delta,\omega,a_0}^{\rho,r,k,c}\phi\right)(x;q)=\int_{a_0}^x(x-t)^{\tau-1}E_{\sigma,\tau,\delta}^{\rho,r,k,c}(\omega(x-t)^{\sigma};q)\phi(t)dt, \quad (3)$$

$$\left(\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,\tau,\delta,\omega,b_0}^{\rho,r,k,c}\phi\right)(x;q)=\int_x^{b_0}(t-x)^{\tau-1}E_{\sigma,\tau,\delta}^{\rho,r,k,c}(\omega(t-x)^{\sigma};q)\phi(t)dt, \quad (4)$$

$$E_{\sigma,\tau,\delta}^{\rho,r,k,c}(t;q)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\beta_q(\rho+n k,c-\rho)(c)_{nk}t^n}{\beta(\rho,c-\rho)\Gamma(\sigma n+\tau)(\delta)_{nr}}, \quad (5)$$

is the extended generalized MLF and β_q is the extension of β function given as:

$$\beta_q(x,y)=\int_0^1t^{x-1}(1-t)^{y-1}e^{-\frac{q}{t(1-t)}}dt, \quad x,y,q\in\mathbb{R}_+.$$

Recently, a strenuous definition of a unified FIO by Farid is given in [6] (see, also [17]):

Definition 1.3. Let $\phi,\psi:[a_0,b_0]\rightarrow\mathbb{R}$, $0<a_0<b_0$ be the functions such that; (i) ϕ be positive and $\phi\in L_1[a_0,b_0]$ and ψ be a differentiable and strictly increasing. (ii) Also let $\frac{\zeta}{x}$ be an increasing function on $[a_0,\infty)$ and $\delta,\tau,c,\rho,\omega\in\mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\delta),\Re(\tau),\Re(\rho),\Re(c)>0$, $\Re(\rho)<\Re(c)$ with $\sigma,r>0$, $q\geq 0$ and $0<k\leq\sigma+r$. Then for $x\in[a_0,b_0]$ the FIOs $(\psi F_{\sigma,\tau,\delta,\omega,a_0}^{\zeta,\rho,r,k,c}\phi)$ and $(\psi F_{\sigma,\tau,\delta,\omega,b_0}^{\zeta,\rho,r,k,c}\phi)$ are defined by:

$$(\psi F_{\sigma,\tau,\delta,\omega,a_0}^{\zeta,\rho,r,k,c}\phi)(x;q)=\int_{a_0}^x\frac{\zeta(\psi(x)-\psi(t))}{\psi(x)-\psi(t)}E_{\sigma,\tau,\delta}^{\rho,r,k,c}(\omega(\psi(x)-\psi(t))^{\sigma};q)\phi(t)d(\psi(t)), \quad (6)$$

$$(\psi F_{\sigma,\tau,\delta,\omega,b_0}^{\zeta,\rho,r,k,c}\phi)(x;q)=\int_x^{b_0}\frac{\zeta(\psi(t)-\psi(x))}{\psi(t)-\psi(x)}E_{\sigma,\tau,\delta}^{\rho,r,k,c}(\omega(\psi(t)-\psi(x))^{\sigma};q)\phi(t)d(\psi(t)). \quad (7)$$

The following definition of generalized FIO containing extended MLF in the kernel can be extracted by taking $\zeta(x)=x^{\tau}$ in Definition 1.3.

Definition 1.4. [26] Let $\phi,\psi:[a_0,b_0]\rightarrow\mathbb{R}$, $0<a_0<b_0$ be the functions such that; (i) ϕ be positive and $\phi\in L_1[a_0,b_0]$ and ψ be a differentiable and strictly increasing. (ii) Also let $\delta,c,\tau,\rho,\omega\in\mathbb{C}$, $\Re(\delta),\Re(\tau),\Re(\rho),\Re(c)>0$, $\Re(\rho)<\Re(c)$ with $\sigma,r>0$, $q\geq 0$ and $0<k\leq\sigma+r$. Then for $x\in[a_0,b_0]$ FIOs are defined by:

$$\left(\psi\Upsilon_{\sigma,\tau,\delta,\omega,a_0}^{\rho,r,k,c}\phi\right)(x;q)=\int_{a_0}^x(\psi(x)-\psi(t))^{\tau-1}E_{\sigma,\tau,\delta}^{\rho,r,k,c}(\omega(\psi(x)-\psi(t))^{\sigma};q)\phi(t)d(\psi(t)), \quad (8)$$

$$\left(\psi\Upsilon_{\sigma,\tau,\delta,\omega,b_0}^{\rho,r,k,c}\phi\right)(x;q)=\int_x^{b_0}(\psi(t)-\psi(x))^{\tau-1}E_{\sigma,\tau,\delta}^{\rho,r,k,c}(\omega(\psi(t)-\psi(x))^{\sigma};q)\phi(t)d(\psi(t)). \quad (9)$$

The FIOs (8) and (9) produce particularly various known FIOs, see [27, Remark 1].

Definition 1.5. [29] A function $\phi : [a_0, b_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be convex if

$$\phi(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)y) \leq \lambda\phi(x) + (1-\lambda)\phi(y), \lambda \in [0, 1], \forall x, y \in [a_0, b_0]$$

holds.

The following H-inequality gives a necessary and sufficient definition for convex functions:

Theorem 1.6. [9, 10] Let $\phi : [a_0, b_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function such that $a_0 < b_0$, then the following inequality holds:

$$\phi\left(\frac{a_0 + b_0}{2}\right) \leq \frac{1}{b_0 - a_0} \int_{a_0}^{b_0} \phi(x) dx \leq \frac{\phi(a_0) + \phi(b_0)}{2}. \quad (10)$$

FH-inequality is a complete version of H-inequality given by Fejér:

Theorem 1.7. [8] Let $\phi : [a_0, b_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and $\eta : [a_0, b_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative, integrable and symmetric about $\frac{a_0 + b_0}{2}$. Then inequality holds:

$$\phi\left(\frac{a_0 + b_0}{2}\right) \int_{a_0}^{b_0} \eta(x) dx \leq \int_{a_0}^{b_0} \phi(x) \eta(x) dx \leq \frac{\phi(a_0) + \phi(b_0)}{2} \int_{a_0}^{b_0} \eta(x) dx. \quad (11)$$

A notion of harmonically convex function is defined in [12].

Definition 1.8. Let I be an interval such that $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$, then a function $\phi : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be harmonically convex, if

$$\phi\left(\frac{a_0 b_0}{t a_0 + (1-t)b_0}\right) \leq t\phi(b_0) + (1-t)\phi(a_0)$$

holds for all $a_0, b_0 \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Recently a lot of FIIs for instance H and FH-type for convex functions and related functions via FIOs have been published (see, [28] and references there in). Qiang *et al.* [26] gave new versions of H and FH-inequalities for harmonically convex functions for FIOs (8) and (9) as follows:

Theorem 1.9. [26] Let $\phi, \psi : [a_0, b_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $0 < a_0 < b_0$, Range $(\psi) \subset [a_0, b_0]$ be the functions such that ϕ be positive and $\phi \in L_1[a_0, b_0]$ and ψ be a differentiable and strictly increasing. If ϕ is a harmonically convex function on $[a_0, b_0]$, then for FIOs (8) and (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi\left(\frac{2\psi(a_0)\psi(b_0)}{\psi(a_0) + \psi(b_0)}\right) \left(\psi \Upsilon_{\sigma, \tau, \delta, \omega_0, (\psi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\psi(a_0)}))}^{\rho, r, k, c} - 1\right) \left(\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\psi(b_0)}\right); q\right) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\psi \Upsilon_{\sigma, \tau, \delta, \omega_0, (\psi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\psi(a_0)}))}^{\rho, r, k, c} - \phi \circ \xi\right) \left(\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\psi(b_0)}\right); q\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left(\psi \Upsilon_{\sigma, \tau, \delta, \omega_0, (\psi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\psi(b_0)})}^{\rho, r, k, c} + \phi \circ \xi\right) \left(\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\psi(a_0)}\right); q\right) \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\phi(\psi(a_0)) + \phi(\psi(b_0))}{2} \left(\psi \Upsilon_{\sigma, \tau, \delta, \omega_0, (\psi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\psi(b_0)})}^{\rho, r, k, c} + 1\right) \left(\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\psi(a_0)}\right); q\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi(t) = \frac{1}{\psi(t)}$ for all $t \in [\frac{1}{b_0}, \frac{1}{a_0}]$ and $\omega_0 = \omega\left(\frac{\psi(a_0)(b_0)}{\psi(b_0) - \psi(a_0)}\right)^\sigma$.

Theorem 1.10. [26] Let $\phi, \psi, \eta : [a_0, b_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $0 < a_0 < b_0$, Range (ψ) , Range $(\eta) \subset [a_0, b_0]$ be the functions such that ϕ be positive and $\phi \in L_1[a_0, b_0]$, ψ be a differentiable and strictly increasing and η be a non-negative and integrable. If $\phi\left(\frac{1}{\psi(x)}\right) = \phi\left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{\psi(a_0)} + \frac{1}{\psi(b_0)} - \psi(x)}\right)$ then for FIOs (8) and (9) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi\left(\frac{2\psi(a_0)\psi(b_0)}{\psi(a_0) + \psi(b_0)}\right) \left(\left(\psi \Upsilon_{\sigma, \tau, \delta, \omega_0, (\psi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\psi(b_0)}))^+}^{\rho, r, k, c} + \eta \circ \xi \right) \left(\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\psi(a_0)}\right); q \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\psi \Upsilon_{\sigma, \tau, \delta, \omega_0, (\psi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\psi(a_0)}))^-}^{\rho, r, k, c} - \eta \circ \xi \right) \left(\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\psi(b_0)}\right); q \right) \right) \\ & \leq \left(\psi \Upsilon_{\sigma, \tau, \delta, \omega_0, (\psi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\psi(b_0)}))^+}^{\rho, r, k, c} + \phi \eta \circ \xi \right) \left(\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\psi(a_0)}\right); q \right) \\ & + \left(\psi \Upsilon_{\sigma, \tau, \delta, \omega_0, (\psi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\psi(a_0)}))^-}^{\rho, r, k, c} - \phi \eta \circ \xi \right) \left(\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\psi(b_0)}\right); q \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\phi(\psi(a_0)) + \phi(\psi(b_0))}{2} \left(\left(\psi \Upsilon_{\sigma, \tau, \delta, \omega_0, (\psi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\psi(b_0)}))^+}^{\rho, r, k, c} + \eta \circ \xi \right) \left(\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\psi(a_0)}\right); q \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\psi \Upsilon_{\sigma, \tau, \delta, \omega_0, (\psi^{-1}(\frac{1}{\psi(a_0)}))^-}^{\rho, r, k, c} - \eta \circ \xi \right) \left(\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{\psi(b_0)}\right); q \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $\xi(t) = \frac{1}{\psi(t)}$ for all $t \in [\frac{1}{b_0}, \frac{1}{a_0}]$, $\phi \eta \circ \xi = (\phi \circ \xi)(\eta \circ \xi)$ and $\omega_0 = \omega\left(\frac{\psi(a_0)\psi(b_0)}{\psi(b_0) - \psi(a_0)}\right)^\sigma$.

Definition 1.11. [30] A positive real-valued function $\phi : \mathfrak{J} \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to be exponentially convex on \mathfrak{J} if the inequality

$$\phi(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda \frac{\phi(x)}{e^{\alpha x}} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\phi(y)}{e^{\alpha y}}, \quad \lambda \in [0, 1], \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$$

holds.

Definition 1.12. [13] Let $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ be an interval and $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Then a function $\phi : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be p -convex, if

$$\phi\left((\lambda a_0^p + (1 - \lambda)b_0^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \leq \lambda \phi(a_0) + (1 - \lambda)\phi(b_0)$$

holds for $a_0, b_0 \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 1.13. [5] Let $h : J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive function. Let $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ be an interval and $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. A function $\phi : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be (p, h) -convex, if

$$\phi\left((\lambda a_0^p + (1 - \lambda)b_0^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \leq h(\lambda)\phi(a_0) + h(1 - \lambda)\phi(b_0) \quad (13)$$

holds for $a_0, b_0 \in \mathfrak{J}$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

If the inequality in (13) is reversed, then ϕ is called (p, h) -concave.

Definition 1.14. [21] Let $h : J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive function. Let $\mathfrak{J} \subset \mathbb{R}_+$ be an interval and $p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. A function $\phi : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be exponentially (p, h) -convex, if

$$\phi\left((\lambda a_0^p + (1 - \lambda)b_0^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \leq h(\lambda) \frac{\phi(a_0)}{e^{\alpha a_0}} + h(1 - \lambda) \frac{\phi(b_0)}{e^{\alpha b_0}} \quad (14)$$

holds for $a_0, b_0 \in \mathfrak{J}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

By reversing the inequality in (14), the exponentially (p, h) -concave function is obtained. On fixing $\alpha = 0$, an exponentially (p, h) -convex function takes the form of (p, h) -convex function.

Upcoming section 2 contains two versions of FH-inequality for exponentially (p, h) -convex functions in the span of generalized FIOs (8) and (9).

In the whole paper we use the following notations as follows:

$$\left(\mathcal{F}_{b_0, \tau, \sigma}^{a_0^+}\right)(\omega, \phi; q) = \left(\Psi_{\sigma, \tau, \delta, \omega, a_0^+}^{p, r, k, c} \phi\right)(b_0; q), \quad \left(\mathcal{F}_{a_0, \tau, \sigma}^{b_0^-}\right)(\omega, \phi; q) = \left(\Psi_{\sigma, \tau, \delta, \omega, b_0^-}^{p, r, k, c} \phi\right)(a_0; q).$$

2. FEJÉR-HADAMARD TYPE INEQUALITIES

Theorem 2.1. Let $\phi, \eta, \psi : [a_0, b_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $0 < a_0 < b_0$, Range (η) , Range $(\psi) \subset [a_0, b_0]$ be the functions such that ϕ and η be positive, ϕ and $\eta \in L_1[a_0, b_0]$, where ψ be a differentiable and strictly increasing. If ϕ is exponentially (p, h) -convex and $\phi(\psi(x)) = \phi(\psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0) - \psi(x))$ and η is symmetric about $\frac{\psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0)}{2}$ then the following inequalities for FIOs (8) and (9) hold:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})} \phi \left(\left(\frac{\psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \mathfrak{D}(\alpha) \left(\mathcal{F}_{\psi^{-1}(\psi^p(b_0)), \tau, \sigma}^{\psi^{-1}(\psi^p(a_0))^+} \right) (\omega_0, \eta \circ \xi; q) \\ & \leq \left(\mathcal{F}_{\psi^{-1}(\psi^p(b_0)), \tau, \sigma}^{\psi^{-1}(\psi^p(a_0))^+} \right) (\omega_0, \phi \eta \circ \xi; q) + \left(\mathcal{F}_{\psi^{-1}(\psi^p(a_0)), \tau, \sigma}^{\psi^{-1}(\psi^p(b_0))^-} \right) (\omega_0, \phi \eta \circ \xi; q) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\phi(\psi(a_0))}{e^{\alpha \psi(a_0)}} + \frac{\phi(\psi(b_0))}{e^{\alpha \psi(b_0)}} \right) \\ & \times \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} (h(t) + h(1-t)) \eta \left((t \psi^p(a_0) + (1-t) \psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{p, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where $\xi(t) = \psi^{\frac{1}{p}}(t)$, $\mathfrak{D}(\alpha) = e^{\alpha \psi(b_0)}$ for $\alpha < 0$, $\mathfrak{D}(\alpha) = e^{\alpha \psi(a_0)}$ for $\alpha \geq 0$, $\phi \eta \circ \xi = (f \circ \xi)(\eta \circ \xi)$ and $\omega_0 = \frac{\omega}{(\psi^p(b_0) - \psi^p(a_0))^\sigma}$.

Proof. By exponentially (p, h) -convexity of ϕ , one can observe

$$\frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})} \phi \left(\left(\frac{\psi^p(x) + \psi^p(y)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \leq \frac{\phi(\psi(x))}{e^{\alpha \psi(x)}} + \frac{\phi(\psi(y))}{e^{\alpha \psi(y)}}. \quad (16)$$

On setting $\psi(x) = (t \psi^p(a_0) + (1-t) \psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $\psi(y) = (t \psi^p(b_0) + (1-t) \psi^p(a_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}$ in (16) we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})} \phi \left(\left(\frac{\psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\phi \left((t \psi^p(a_0) + (1-t) \psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)}{e^{\alpha (t \psi^p(a_0) + (1-t) \psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}}} + \frac{\phi \left((t \psi^p(b_0) + (1-t) \psi^p(a_0))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)}{e^{\alpha (t \psi^p(b_0) + (1-t) \psi^p(a_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Multiplying (17) by $t^{\tau-1}E_{\sigma,\tau,\delta}^{\rho,r,k,c}(\omega t^\sigma; q)\eta\left((t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)$ and integrating over $[0, 1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})} \phi\left(\left(\frac{\psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0)}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma,\tau,\delta}^{\rho,r,k,c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \eta\left((t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) dt \\
& \leq \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma,\tau,\delta}^{\rho,r,k,c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \\
& \quad \times \frac{\phi\left((t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \eta\left((t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)}{e^{\alpha(t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}}} dt \\
& + \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma,\tau,\delta}^{\rho,r,k,c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \\
& \quad \times \frac{\phi\left((t\psi^p(b_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(a_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\right) \eta\left((t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)}{e^{\alpha(t\psi^p(b_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(a_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}}} dt. \tag{18}
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0) = \psi(x)$, that is $((1-t)\psi^p(a_0) + t\psi^p(b_0) = \psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0) - \psi(x)$ in (18) and utilizing condition $\phi(\psi(x)) = \phi(\psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0) - \psi(x))$, then by FIOs (8) and (9) one can get first inequality of (15).

Again by using the exponentially (p, h) -convexity of ϕ , the right hand side of (17) leads

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\phi\left((t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)}{e^{\alpha(t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}}} + \frac{\phi\left((t\psi^p(b_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(a_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)}{e^{\alpha(t\psi^p(b_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(a_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{e^{\alpha(t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}}} \left(\frac{h(t)\phi(\psi(a_0))}{e^{\alpha\psi(a_0)}} + \frac{h(1-t)\phi(\psi(b_0))}{e^{\alpha\psi(b_0)}} \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{e^{\alpha(t\psi^p(b_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(a_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}}} \left(\frac{h(t)\phi(\psi(b_0))}{e^{\alpha\psi(b_0)}} + \frac{h(1-t)\phi(\psi(a_0))}{e^{\alpha\psi(a_0)}} \right). \tag{19}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, multiplying (19) by $t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{\rho, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \eta \left((t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)$ and integrating over $[0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{\rho, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \\
& \quad \times \frac{\phi \left((t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \eta \left((t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)}{e^{\alpha(t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}}} dt \\
& + \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{\rho, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \\
& \quad \times \frac{\phi \left((t\psi^p(b_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(a_0))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \eta \left((t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)}{e^{\alpha(t\psi^p(b_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(a_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}}} dt \\
& \leq \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{\rho, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \\
& \quad \times \frac{\eta \left((t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)}{e^{\alpha(t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}}} \left(\frac{h(t)\phi(\psi(a_0))}{e^{\alpha\psi(a_0)}} + \frac{h(1-t)\phi(\psi(b_0))}{e^{\alpha\psi(b_0)}} \right) dt \\
& + \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{\rho, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \\
& \quad \times \frac{\eta \left((t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)}{e^{\alpha(t\psi^p(b_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(a_0))^{\frac{1}{p}}}} \left(\frac{h(t)\phi(\psi(b_0))}{e^{\alpha\psi(b_0)}} + \frac{h(1-t)\phi(\psi(a_0))}{e^{\alpha\psi(a_0)}} \right) dt. \tag{20}
\end{aligned}$$

Again setting $t\psi^p(a_0) + (1-t)\psi^p(b_0) = \psi(x)$, that is $((1-t)\psi^p(a_0) + t\psi^p(b_0) = \psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0) - \psi(x)$ in (20) and utilizing condition $\phi(\psi(x)) = \phi(\psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0) - \psi(x))$, then by FIOs (8) and (9), one can attain second inequality of (15). \square

Remark 2.2.

- (1) On fixing $q = 0$, $\psi = I$, $\alpha = 0$, $\eta(x) = 1$, $h(t) = t$ and $p = -1$ in Theorem 2.1, [3, Theorem 3.1] is recovered.
- (2) On fixing $\eta(x) = 1$, $\alpha = 0$, $h(t) = t$, $p = -1$ and $\psi = I$ in Theorem 2.1, [7, Theorem 2.1] is recovered.
- (3) On fixing $\omega = q = 0$, $\alpha = 0$, $\eta(x) = 1$, $p = -1$, $h(t) = t$ and $\psi = I$ in Theorem 2.1, [14, Theorem 4] is recovered.
- (4) On fixing $\omega = 0$, $h(t) = t$, $\alpha = 0$, $\tau = 1$, $q = 0$, $\psi = I$ and $p = -1$ in Theorem 2.1, [4, Theorem 8] is recovered.
- (5) On fixing $\omega = 0$, $p = -1$, $q = 0$, $\alpha = 0$, $\tau = 1$, $\psi = I$, $h(t) = t$ and $\eta(x) = 1$ in Theorem 2.1, [16, Theorem 2.4] is recovered.
- (6) On fixing $\alpha = 0$, $p = -1$ and $h(t) = t$ in Theorem 2.1, [26, Theorem 2.5] is recovered.
- (7) On fixing $\alpha = 0$, $h(t) = t$ and $p = -1$ in Theorem 2.1, Theorem 1.10 is recovered.

Now we give another FH-inequality:

Theorem 2.3. Let $\phi, \eta, \psi : [a_0, b_0] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $0 < a_0 < b_0$, $\text{Range}(\eta), \text{Range}(\psi) \subset [a_0, b_0]$ be the functions such that ϕ and η be positive, ϕ and $\eta \in L_1[a_0, b_0]$ and ψ be a differentiable and strictly increasing. If ϕ is exponentially (p, h) -convex and $\phi(\psi(x)) = \phi(\psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0) - \psi(x))$ and η is symmetric about $\frac{\psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0)}{2}$ then the

following inequalities for FIOs (8) and (9) hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})} \phi \left(\left(\frac{\Psi^p(a_0) + \Psi^p(b_0)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \mathfrak{D}(\alpha) \left(\mathcal{F}_{\Psi^{-1}(\Psi^p(b_0)), \tau, \sigma}^{\Psi^{-1} \left(\frac{\Psi^p(a_0) + \Psi^p(b_0)}{2} \right)^+} \right) (2^\sigma \omega_0, \eta \circ \xi; q) \\
& \leq \left(\mathcal{F}_{\Psi^{-1}(\Psi^p(b_0)), \tau, \sigma}^{\Psi^{-1} \left(\frac{\Psi^p(a_0) + \Psi^p(b_0)}{2} \right)^+} \right) (2^\sigma \omega_0, \phi \eta \circ \xi; q) + \left(\mathcal{F}_{\Psi^{-1}(\Psi^p(a_0)), \tau, \sigma}^{\Psi^{-1} \left(\frac{\Psi^p(a_0) + \Psi^p(b_0)}{2} \right)^-} \right) (2^\sigma \omega_0, \phi \eta \circ \xi; q) \\
& \leq \left(\frac{\phi(\Psi(a_0))}{e^{\alpha \Psi(a_0)}} + \frac{\phi(\Psi(b_0))}{e^{\alpha \Psi(b_0)}} \right) \\
& \times \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} \left(h \left(\frac{t}{2} \right) + h \left(1 - \frac{t}{2} \right) \right) \\
& \times \eta \left(\left(\frac{t}{2} \Psi^p(a_0) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2} \right) \Psi^p(b_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{p, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where $\xi(t) = \Psi^{\frac{1}{p}}(t)$, $\mathfrak{D}(\alpha) = e^{\alpha \Psi(b_0)}$ for $\alpha < 0$, $\mathfrak{D}(\alpha) = e^{\alpha \Psi(a_0)}$ for $\alpha \geq 0$, $\phi \eta \circ \xi = (\phi \circ \xi)(\eta \circ \xi)$ and $\omega_0 = \frac{\omega}{(\Psi^p(b_0) - \Psi^p(a_0))^\sigma}$.

Proof. By setting $\psi(x) = \left(\frac{t}{2} \Psi^p(a_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \Psi^p(b_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, $\psi(y) = \left(\frac{t}{2} \Psi^p(b_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \Psi^p(a_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ in (16) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})} \phi \left(\left(\frac{\Psi^p(a_0) + \Psi^p(b_0)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \\
& \leq \frac{\phi \left(\left(\frac{t}{2} \Psi^p(a_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \Psi^p(b_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)}{e^{\alpha \left(\frac{t}{2} \Psi^p(a_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \Psi^p(b_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}} + \frac{\phi \left(\left(\frac{t}{2} \Psi^p(b_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \Psi^p(a_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)}{e^{\alpha \left(\frac{t}{2} \Psi^p(b_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \Psi^p(a_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Multiplying (22) by $t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{p, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \eta \left(\left(\frac{t}{2} \psi^p(a_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(b_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)$ and integrating over $[0, 1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{2})} \phi \left(\left(\frac{\psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0)}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \\
& \times \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{p, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \eta \left(\left(\frac{t}{2} \psi^p(a_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(b_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) dt \\
& \leq \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{p, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \\
& \quad \times \frac{\phi \left(\left(\frac{t}{2} \psi^p(a_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(b_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \eta \left(\left(\frac{t}{2} \psi^p(a_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(b_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)}{e^{\alpha \left(\frac{t}{2} \psi^p(a_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(b_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}} dt \\
& + \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{p, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \\
& \quad \times \frac{\phi \left(\left(\frac{t}{2} \psi^p(b_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(a_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \eta \left(\left(\frac{t}{2} \psi^p(a_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(b_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)}{e^{\alpha \left(\frac{t}{2} \psi^p(b_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(a_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}} dt. \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

Setting $\frac{t}{2} \psi^p(a_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(b_0) = \psi(x)$, that is $(1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(a_0) + \frac{t}{2} \psi^p(b_0) = \psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0) - \psi(x)$ in (23) and employing condition $\phi(\psi(x)) = \phi(\psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0) - \psi(x))$, then by FIOs (8) and (9), one can get first inequality of (21).

Again by using the exponentially (p, h) -convexity of ϕ , the right hand side of (22) leads

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\phi \left(\left(\frac{t}{2} \psi^p(a_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(b_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)}{e^{\alpha \left(\frac{t}{2} \psi^p(a_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(b_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}} + \frac{\phi \left(\left(\frac{t}{2} \psi^p(b_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(a_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right)}{e^{\alpha \left(\frac{t}{2} \psi^p(b_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(a_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{e^{\alpha \left(\frac{t}{2} \psi^p(a_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(b_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}} \left(\frac{h(\frac{t}{2}) \phi(\psi(a_0))}{e^{\alpha \psi(a_0)}} + \frac{h(1 - \frac{t}{2}) \phi(\psi(b_0))}{e^{\alpha \psi(b_0)}} \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{e^{\alpha \left(\frac{t}{2} \psi^p(b_0) + (1 - \frac{t}{2}) \psi^p(a_0) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}} \left(\frac{h(\frac{t}{2}) \phi(\psi(b_0))}{e^{\alpha \psi(b_0)}} + \frac{h(1 - \frac{t}{2}) \phi(\psi(a_0))}{e^{\alpha \psi(a_0)}} \right). \tag{24}
\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of (24) by $t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{\rho, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q)$ and integrating over $[0, 1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{\rho, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \frac{\phi\left(\left(\frac{t}{2}\psi^p(a_0) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right)\psi^p(b_0)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\frac{t}{2}\psi^p(a_0) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right)\psi^p(b_0)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}} dt \\
 & + \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{\rho, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \frac{\phi\left(\left(\frac{t}{2}\psi^p(b_0) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right)\psi^p(a_0)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right)}{e^{\alpha\left(\frac{t}{2}\psi^p(b_0) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right)\psi^p(a_0)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}} dt \\
 & \leq \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{\rho, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \frac{1}{e^{\alpha\left(\frac{t}{2}\psi^p(a_0) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right)\psi^p(b_0)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}} \\
 & \quad \times \left(\frac{h\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\phi(\psi(a_0))}{e^{\alpha\psi(a_0)}} + \frac{h\left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right)\phi(\psi(b_0))}{e^{\alpha\psi(b_0)}} \right) dt \\
 & + \int_0^1 t^{\tau-1} E_{\sigma, \tau, \delta}^{\rho, r, k, c}(\omega t^\sigma; q) \frac{1}{e^{\alpha\left(\frac{t}{2}\psi^p(b_0) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right)\psi^p(a_0)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}}} \\
 & \quad \times \left(\frac{h\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)\phi(\psi(b_0))}{e^{\alpha\psi(b_0)}} + \frac{h\left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right)\phi(\psi(a_0))}{e^{\alpha\psi(a_0)}} \right) dt.
 \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Again setting $\frac{t}{2}\psi^p(a_0) + \left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right)\psi^p(b_0) = \psi(x)$, that is $\left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right)\psi^p(a_0) + \frac{t}{2}\psi^p(b_0) = \psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0) - \psi(x)$ in (25) and employing condition $\phi(\psi(x)) = \phi(\psi^p(a_0) + \psi^p(b_0) - \psi(x))$, then by FIOs (8) and (9), one can attain second inequality of (21).

Remark 2.4.

- (1) On fixing $h(t) = t$, $q = 0$, $\psi = I$ and $p = -1$ in Theorem 2.3, [3, Theorem 3.6] is recovered.
- (2) On fixing $h(t) = t$, $p = -1$ and $\psi = I$ in Theorem 2.3, [7, Theorem 2.6] is recovered.
- (3) On fixing $\alpha = 0$, $p = -1$ and $h(t) = t$ in Theorem 2.3, [26, Theorem 2.10] is obtained.
- (4) From Theorems 2.1-2.3, one can find results for the operators given in [27, Remark 1].
- (5) On fixing $\eta(x) = 1$ in Theorems 2.1-2.3, results for the H-inequality can be attained.
- (6) On fixing $h(t) = t$ in Theorems 2.1-2.3, results for exponentially p -convex functions can be attained.

□

COMPETING INTERESTS

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their helpful, valuable comments and suggestions in the improvement of this manuscript.

AUTHOR'S CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors equally contributed to this work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahmad, B., Alsaedi, A., Kirane, M., & Torebek, B. T. (2019). Hermite-Hadamard, Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér, Dragomir-Agarwal & Pachpatte type inequalities for convex functions via new fractional integrals. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, **353**, 120-129.
- [2] Andrić, M., & Farid, G., & Pečarić, J. (2018). A further extension of Mittag-Leffler function. *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis*, **21**(5), 1377-1395.
- [3] Abbas, G., & Farid, G. (2017). Hadamard and Fejér-Hadamard type inequalities for harmonically convex functions via generalized fractional integrals. *The Journal of Analysis*, **25**(1), 107-119.
- [4] Chen, F., & Wu, S. (2014). Fejér and Hermite-Hadamard type Inequalities for harmonically convex functions. *Journal of Applied Mathematics*, **2014**, Article ID 386806.
- [5] Fang, Z. B., & Shi, R. (2014). On the (p,h) -convex function and some integral inequalities. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2014**, 45.
- [6] Farid, G. (2020). A unified integral operator and further its consequences. *Open Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, **4**(1), 1-7.
- [7] Farid, G., Rehman, A. U., & Mehmood, S. (2018). Hadamard and Fejér-Hadamard type integral inequalities for harmonically convex functions via an extended generalized Mittag-Leffler function. *Journal of Mathematical and Computational Science*, **8**(5), 630-643.
- [8] Fejér, L. (1906). Über die Fourierreihen II. *Math Naturwiss Anz Ungar Akad Wiss*, **24**, 369-390.
- [9] Hadamard, J. (1893). Etude sur les propriétés des fonctions entières e.t en particulier d'une fonction considérée par Riemann. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, **58**, 171-215.
- [10] Hermite, C. (1883). Sur deux limites d'une intégrale définie. *Mathesis*, **3**, 82.
- [11] Iscan, I. (2015). Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér type inequalities for convex functions via fractional integrals. *Studia Universitatis Babe-Bolyai Mathematica*, **60**(3), 355-366.
- [12] Iscan, I. (2014). Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for harmonically convex functions. *Hacetatepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, **43**(6), 935-942.
- [13] Iscan, I., Turhan, S., & Medan, S. (2017). Hermite-Hadamard and Simpson-like Type Inequalities for differentiable p -quasi-convex Functions. *FILOMAT*, **31**(19), 5945-5953.
- [14] Iscan, I., & Wu, S. (2014). Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for harmonically convex functions via fractional integrals. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **238**, 237-244.
- [15] Jung, C. Y., Yussouf, M., Chu, Y. M., Farid, G., & Kang, S. M. (2020). Generalized fractional Hadamard and Fejér-Hadamard inequalities for generalized harmonically convex functions. *Journal of Mathematics*, **2020**, Article ID 8245324.
- [16] Kunt, M., Iscan, I., Yazi, N., & Gozutok, U. (2016). On new inequalities of Hermite-Hadamard-Fejér type inequalities for harmonically convex functions via fractional integrals. *Springer Plus*, **5**(1), 1-19.
- [17] Kwun, Y.C., Farid, G., Ullah, S., Nazeer, W., Mahreen, K., & Kang, S. M. (2019). Inequalities for a unified integral operator and associated results in fractional calculus. *IEEE Access*, **7**, 126283-126292.
- [18] Mehmood, S., Farid, G., Khan, K. A., & Yussouf, M. (2020). New Hadamard and Fejér-Hadamard fractional Inequalities for exponentially m -convex function. *Engineering and Applied Science Letters*, **3**(1), 45-55.
- [19] Mehmood, S., Farid, G., Khan, K. A., & Yussouf, M. (2020). New fractional Hadamard and Fejér-Hadamard fractional Inequalities associated with exponentially (h, m) -convex functions. *Engineering and*

- Applied Science Letters*, **3**(2), 9-18.
- [20] Mehreen, N., & Anwar, M. (2019). Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for exponentially p -convex functions and exponentially s -convex functions in the second sense with applications. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2019**, 92.
- [21] Mehreen, N., & Anwar, M. (2020). Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities via exponentially (p,h) -convex functions. *IEEE Access*, **8**, 37589-37595.
- [22] Pečarić, J., Proschan, F., & Tong, Y. L. (1992). *Convex functions, Partial Orderings and statistical Applications*. Academic Press, Inc.
- [23] Mitrinović, D. S., Pečarić, J. L. & Fink, A. M. (1993). *Classical and New Inequalities In Analysis*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston.
- [24] Prabhakar, T. R. (1971). A singular integral equation with a generalized Mittag-Leffler function in the kernel. *Yokohama Mathematical Journal*, **19**, 7-15.
- [25] Qi, H., Yussouf, M., Mehmood, S., Chu, Y. M., & Farid, G. (2020). Fractional integral versions of Hermite-Hadamard type inequality for generalized exponentially convexity. *AIMS Mathematics*, **5**(6), 6030-6042.
- [26] Qiang, X., Farid, G., Yussouf, M., Khan, K. A., & Rehman, A. U. (2020). New generalized fractional versions of Hadamard and Fejér inequalities for harmonically convex functions. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications*, **2020**, 191.
- [27] Rao, Y., Yussouf, M., Farid, G., Pečarić, J., & Tlili, I. (2020). Further generalizations of Hadamard and Fejér-Hadamard inequalities and error estimations. *Advances in Difference Equations*, **2020**, 421.
- [28] Sarikaya, M. Z., Set, E., Yaldiz, H., & Basak, N. (2013). Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for fractional integrals and related fractional inequalities. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, **57**, 2403-2407.
- [29] Toader, G. H. (1984). Some generalization of convexity. *Proceedings of the Colloquium on Approximation and Optimization, Cluj Napoca (Romania)*, **1984**, 329-338.
- [30] Awan, M. U., Noor, M. A., & Noor, K. I. (2018). Hermite-Hadamard inequalities for exponentially convex functions. *Applied Mathematics & Information Sciences*, **12**(2), 404-409.
- [31] Yang, X., Farid, G., Nazeer, W., Yussouf, M., Chu, Y. M., & Dong, C. (2020). Fractional generalized Hadamard and the Fejér-Hadamard type inequalities for m -convex functions. *AIMS Mathematics*, **5**(6), 6325-6340.